





a prospect in wireless research: embracing heterogeneous connectivity

Petar Popovski Professor Head of Connectivity Aalborg University Denmark

Digitalize in Stockholm, November 27, 2019

outline

- the future connectivity landscape
- redefining the way to deal with heterogeneity
- how model enrichment drives innovation
- slicing of wireless resources
- outlook and final remarks



outline

- the future connectivity landscape
- redefining the way to deal with heterogeneity
- how model enrichment drives innovation
- slicing of wireless resources
- outlook and final remarks



the complex connectivity ecosystem





5G segmentation to deal with complexity





the platform approach of 5G

- roughly 2/3 of 5G is dedicated to IoT communication
- connecting the Vertical sectors





the space of connectivity services



5G offers flexible composition of services

outline

- the future connectivity landscape
- redefining the way to deal with heterogeneity
- how model enrichment drives innovation
- slicing of wireless resources
- outlook and final remarks



is this the ultimate connectivity framework?

it would appear that we have reached the **limits** of what it is **possible** to achieve with **computer technology**, although one should be careful with such statements, as they tend to sound pretty **silly in 5 years**.



von Neumann Feynman Ulam





Digitalize in Stockholm, November 27, 2019

relation to other wireless interfaces

AN YOUR





the weak marriage of ultra-reliability and low latency

the 5G community has opted to couple the ultra-reliability and low latency into URLLC

• error rate of 10^{-5} under the proverbial 1 ms



information theory guarantees perfect reliability!

...provided that the sender KNOWS the channel statistics to be able to select the data rate to be

below the channel Capacity

at the price of an infinite latency



URLLC vs. URC (ultra-reliable communication)

early METIS proposal [1]:

- URC over a long term: latency >10 ms
- URC over a short term: latency ≤ 10 ms

we still need a latency constraint for high reliability, but the value of 1 ms will need to be revisited



[1] P. Popovski, "Ultra-reliable communication in 5G wireless systems," in *1st International Conference on 5G for Ubiquitous Connectivity*, Nov. 2014, pp. 146–151.

at least two cases for long-term URC

resilient connections with large latency budget



gg74473846 www.gograph.com

mobile health, remote monitoring

 $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Q}^{1^{\mathbb{Q}}}}$



gg59802362 www.gograph.com

disaster and rescue

Digitalize in Stockholm, November 27, 2019

connectivity space revisited

10.15 M



connectivity space of cellular IoT (Ericsson)



Ericsson, "Cellular IoT Evolution for Industry Digitalization," white paper, 2019.



connectivity space of cellular IoT



outline

- the future connectivity landscape
- redefining the way to deal with heterogeneity
- how model enrichment drives innovation
- slicing of wireless resources
- outlook and final remarks



the power of modeling

a model is our representation of a real-life problem

 a model is a cartoon of reality, but as every good caricature, it contains sufficient features to recognize the person.





Spock by Noma Bar

example: multiple access







the simplest collision model





Digitalize in Stockholm, November 27, 2019

model enrichment: putting a distance





Digitalize in Stockholm, November 27, 2019

model enrichment: buffered collisions



leads to a coded random access!



heterogeneity adds a whole new dimension



e4015

URLLC

activity

the rationale behind the research potential of this model



theoretical basis for NOMA Non-Orthogonal Multiple Access

the more conventional OMA Orthogonal Multiple Access



heterogeneous (5G) multiple access channel



the classical MAC model needs to be enriched for different

- traffic
- activation
- CSI knowledge
- requirements

heterogeneous NOMA (H-NOMA) heterogeneous OMA (H-OMA)



outline

- the future connectivity landscape
- redefining the way to deal with heterogeneity
- how model enrichment drives innovation
- slicing of wireless resources
- outlook and final remarks



toy example on wireless slicing



- broadband connectivity
- continuous transmission
- example: video stream
- target rate

- low-latency connectivity
- intermittent transmission
- example: reliable control
- target latency/reliability





- L-th slot allocated to Yoshi
 - he waits at most L slots to deliver the packet
- the goodput of Zoya is $G_Z = \frac{L-1}{L}R$
- intermittency of Yoshi does not affect the goodput of Zoya





if Yoshi wants instantaneous transmission, then the goodput of Zoya is strictly 0.

what if the broadband connectivity can live with some error probability?



toy example: non-orthogonal slicing



 time

Basil

Yoshi

Zoya repeats each packet 3 times

Successive Interference Cancellation



- error only if three repetitions are lost
- goodput of Zoya: $G_Z = \frac{R}{L}(1 a^L)$

distilled 5G service requirements

eMBB

- acceleration of 4G,
- large payloads, active over longer period
- scheduled users, no contention
- maximize rate, moderate reliability (e.g. 10E-3)



distilled 5G service requirements

mMTC

18-4^{0.15}

- fixed low rate
- unknown active subset from a massive device set
- maximize arrival rate, low reliability (e.g. 10E-1)





distilled 5G service requirements

URLLC

- intermittent transmissions, but from a much smaller device set
- offer high reliability (e.g. 10E-5), while localized in time
- use high level of diversity





two types of slicing



@]

system model

- F frequency radio resources
- S minislots
- eMBB transmission takes one frequency resource
- *a_U* is the probability of active URLLC device in a minislot
- an URLLC transmission spreads over F_U frequencies
- A_M is the Poisson-distributed number of active mMTCs





the received signal in a minislot

$$\mathbf{Y}_{s,f} = H_{B,f} \mathbf{X}_{B,f} + H_{U,f} \mathbf{X}_{U,s,f} + \sum_{m=1}^{A_M} H_{[m],f} \mathbf{X}_{[m],s,f} + \mathbf{Z}_{s,f}$$

not a classical multiple access channel

different arrivals, different decoding criteria, etc.

independent Rayleigh-faded $H_{i,f}$

if there is no transmission, $\mathbf{X}_{i,f} = 0$



some more bits and pieces about the model

eMBB

- has a full CSI and transmits with channel inversion
- not transmitting w.p. $1 a_B$ results in outage

$$r_{B,f} = \log_2(1 + G_{B,f}^{\mathrm{tar}})$$

URLLC

- find maximal rate r_U that satisfies ε_U
- no CSIT and no power adaptation

$$\Pr(E_U) = \Pr\left(\frac{1}{F_U}\sum_{f=1}^{F_U}\log_2(1+G_{U,f}) < r_U\right) \le \varepsilon_U$$



some more bits and pieces about the model

mMTC: use of successive interference cancellation (SIC)

SNRs:
$$G_{[1]} \ge G_{[2]} \ge \cdots \ge G_{[A_M]}$$

SINR:
$$\sigma_{[m_0]} = \frac{G_{[m_0]}}{1 + \sum_{m=m_0+1}^{A_M} G_{[m]}}$$

decoding condition: $\log_2(1 + \sigma_{[m_0]}) \ge r_M$



the reliability diversity

 $\varepsilon_U \ll \varepsilon_B \ll \varepsilon_M$

design that benefit from heterogeneous reliability requirements







the reliability diversity

 $\varepsilon_U \ll \varepsilon_B \ll \varepsilon_M$

design that benefit from heterogeneous reliability requirements





slicing for eMBB and URLLC



$$\Pr\left(\frac{1}{F_U}\sum_{f=1}^{F_U}\log_2\left(1+\frac{G_{U,f}}{1+G_{B,f}^{\mathrm{tar}}}\right) < r_U\right) \le \varepsilon_U$$



Digitalize in Stockholm, November 27, 2019

slicing for eMBB and URLLC



eMBB uses erasure code of rate $1 - \frac{k}{s}$ and thus has a decreased rate



slicing for eMBB and URLLC: results





slicing for eMBB and URLLC: results





slicing for eMBB and mMTC

we look into a single radio frequency resource

orthogonal slicing achieved by time-sharing

non-orthogonal slicing achieved by SIC

SINR:
$$\sigma_{[m_0]} = \frac{G_{[m_0]}}{1 + G_{B,f}^{\text{tar}} + \sum_{m=m_0+1}^{A_M} G_{[m]}}$$



slicing for eMBB and mMTC: results

ALC'S





outline

- the future connectivity landscape
- redefining the way to deal with heterogeneity
- how model enrichment drives innovation
- slicing of wireless resources
- outlook and final remarks



outlook: impact on spectrum usage





final remarks

- future connectivity will be characterized by vast heterogeneity of features and requirements
 - should AI algorithms tell us how to segment this heterogeneity?

 trading off heterogeneous requirements, complex allocation of resources

 communication-theoretic models essential in getting new insights



recent references

P. Popovski, K. F. Trillingsgaard, O. Simeone and G. Durisi, "5G Wireless Network Slicing for eMBB, URLLC, and mMTC: A Communication-Theoretic View," IEEE Access, vol. 6, pp. 55765-55779, 2018.

R. Kassab, O. Simeone, P. Popovski, and T. Islam, "Non-Orthogonal Multiplexing of Ultra-Reliable and Broadband Services in Fog-Radio Architectures", in IEEE Access, vol. 7, pp. 13035-13049, 2019.

A. E. Kalør and P. Popovski, "Ultra-Reliable Communication for Services with Heterogeneous Latency Requirements", in Proc. IEEE GLOBECOM 2019 Workshop on Future Wireless Access for Industrial IoT (FutureIIoT), Big Island, Hawaii, USA, December 2019.

A. S. Bana, E. de Carvalho, B. Soret, T. Abrão, J. C. Marinello, E. G. Larsson, and P. Popovski, "Massive MIMO for Internet of Things (IoT) Connectivity," Elsevier Physical Communication, vol. 37, Dec. 2019.

J. J. Nielsen, I. Leyva-Mayorga, and P. Popovski, "Reliability and Error Burst Length Analysis of Wireless Multi-Connectivity", in Proc. 2019 IEEE International Symposium on Wireless Communication Systems, Oulu, Finland, August 2019.

